

## Old Church Slavonic Grammar

SEVENTH REVISED EDITION

by Horace G. Lunt

Mouton de Gruyter Berlin · New York 2001 491.817

general Steric Ref. (Steric)

## PREFACE

This description of the structure of Old Church Slavonic is intended to present fully the important data about the language, without citing all the minutiae of attested variant spellings. The facts have been treated from the point of view of structural linguistics, but pedagogical clarity has taken precedence over the conciseness required for elegant formal description.

Old Church Slavonic was used over a period of some two hundred years and in various geographical parts of the Slavic world precisely at the time when the Slavic languages were undergoing rapid, fundamental, divergent changes. Some of these changes are doubtless reflected in the variant spellings in the few texts which have survived from this period, so that while most variations in grammar and vocabulary are the sorts of stylistic and idiosyncratic differences that are found in the standard or literary language of any single epoch, some important variant details result from different regional dialectal history. It has thus been necessary to include occasional references to historical and comparative linguistics in the first half of this book, although in principle these problems do not fall within the scope of a strictly descriptive, synchronic grammar.

It is necessary to normalize forms to present the grammatical structure as a consistent whole, and the normalization inevitably obscures the differences in the language of the various manuscripts. A clear picture of the different combinations of linguistic elements making up each of the texts is not to be achieved by lists of spelling variants or tables of percentages, but it is worth while to point out some of the striking variations. First-hand acquaintance with the texts and constant comparison of variant readings is the only way to arrive at an understanding both of the underlying unity of the texts as a whole and of the major and minor differences between them.

Little mention is made here of another type of comparison—the relationship of the OCS translated texts to the Greek originals. And yet it is in the Greek and in the translation technique that the explanations of hundreds of tiny problems (expecially of syntax) are to be found, and certain major structural problems need to be posed in terms of the influence of Greek on OCS. However, so few students have enough Greek to profit by such comparisons that it did not seem worth the considerable space that

).A.

vi PREFACE

would be required. Excellent work in this field is available, though some scholars tend to forget that even a poor translator is governed by the structure of the language into which he is translating. The "Notes on Syntax" in Chapter Six are offered on the premise that something is better than nothing. It is particularly in this area that translation techniques need to be analyzed.

After forty years of teaching OCS and related topics in the history and structure of modern Slavic languages, my views on the nature of language and the models for describing language have evolved away from the Bloomfieldian structuralism of my training. The data of OCS have not changed importantly from the material described by scholars a century ago, although some details from imprecise editions have been discarded and a few new details must be accounted for. I continue to believe that every language is a coherent structure, and that each language can be described in terms of static and dynamic elements and learned by novices who do not have the slightest knowledge of its history.

Departures from tradition in classifying the data in no way change the facts themselves. The OCS verb, for example, is complicated, and classification will not make it less so. *Xvaliti*, *velěti*, and *želěti* do belong to different paradigms, whether one labels them IV A, IV B, and III 2 with Leskien, or IV, III 1 and III 2 with Diels, or II.8k, II.8e,1k, and I.4a,2b with Koch. I believe that it is most efficient simply to encourage students to learn the form from which the rest of the paradigm can be generated according to rules (*xvali-ti*, *velě-ti*, but *želěj-qtī*) and leave them to study the tables on pp. 114-117 and 136-137 for similarities and differences between paradigms. The present form of description is based on my belief that it is the morpheme that is the basic unit of communication.

A comparison of Old Church Slavonic—a language I believe to be a partially standardized written form of Late Common Slavic—with either its hypothetical ancestors or the descendants or collateral descendants of other forms of LCoS—is not the task of the synchronic description that takes up the first five chapters of this book. In the 1974 edition, I presented an epilogue ("Toward a generative phonology of OCS") that was based on a generative theory that proved to be too ambitious. Chapter Six in this book is an entirely new and relatively traditional sketch of the genesis of OCS (as a representative of Late Common Slavic).

This work was influenced by my teachers of long ago and by the students and colleagues I encountered during my years of teaching. I will not attempt to list them here. I can only express general thanks to the students who asked challenging questions and to their fellow-students and

PREFACE vii

the colleagues throughout the scholarly world who helped me (in direct or indirect ways) find some of the answers. Special gratitude is due to Thomas J. Butler for his help in reading proof.

This edition too I dedicate to the memory of Professor S. H. Cross of Harvard, who introduced me to the study of Slavic, and to Professor G. R. Noyes of the University of California, who gave me my first lessons in Old Church Slavonic.

Horace G. Lunt

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Prefa		V
Abb	reviations	XV
INTE	RODUCTION: EXTERNAL HISTORY AND SOURCES	1
0.0	Definition of OCS	1
0.1	Cyril and Methodius	1
0.2	Manuscripts (.21 The Name of OCS)	3
0.3	Sources (.31 linguistic characteristics of OCS, "dialects"; .32 the "canonical texts"; .33 "*OCS" texts; .34 the study	2.6
	of OCS; bibliography	4
СНА	PTER ONE: THE OLD CHURCH SLAVONIC WRITING SYSTEMS	15
1.0	Glagolitic and Cyrillic (origin and use; .04 Latin letters)	15
1.1	The letters, general (table; problems of transliteration;	
	normalized and reconstructed forms)	18
1.2	The use of individual letters (.21 consonantal; .23 vocalic:	
	blocked vs. unblocked; .235 i-letters; .236 y; .237 ь ъ; .238 ě;	
	.24 /j/	25
1.3	Diacritics and other signs (.31 indications of iotization;	
	.34 abbreviations)	27
1.4	Punctuation	27
1.5	Numerals	28
СНА	PTER TWO: THE SOUND SYSTEM	29
2.	Phonemics (.0 Phonology and orthography; .11 vowels;	
	.12 consonants; .2 j; 4. phonotactic constraints; .5 syllable;	
	.6 the jers ( $b$ $b$ ); .61 tense jers; .62 the jer-shift; .625 $*jb$ ;	
	.63 neutral jers; .65 spelling; .7 nasal vowels)	29
3.	Morphophonemics (.2 word structure; .3 consonant adjustmen	nt;
	.4 palatalization, KI, KAI; .5 alternating vowel morphopho-	40
	nemes; .6 iotation; .8 zero desinence; .9 apophony (ablaut))	42
СНА	PTER THREE: DECLENSION	52
4.0	Fundamental notions (definitions, possible forms, types of	
	declension, stem)	52

4.1	The twofold nominal declension (.11 vocative; .12 foreign nouns; .13 genitive-accusative; .14 dative singovi and other	
	relics of the 'u-stems'; .15 instrumental sing. mascneut.; .16 masc. in -a; .18 feminines in -i; .19 comparatives and	
	active participles)	54
4.2	Pronominal declension (words included; suffixes; substitutive	
	softening; .21 vbsb, sicb; .22 sb; .23 kbto; .24 čbto; .25 *jb;	
	iže, tažde, etc.; 26 contractions)	62
4.3	Compound declension (suffixes, assimilation, contraction;	
	.31 comparatives, active participles; .32 mixture of declensions	64
4.4	Simple nominal declension (tense jers; trie; masculines;	
	.11 anomalous type: jers, gen. ~ acc. sing., nom. pl., četyre,	5/0
	masculines, feminines, neuters)	71
4.5	Mixture of nominal declension types (.51 zvěrb, ogonjb,	
	gospodь; .52 -telj,-arjь; .53 plane; singinъ;	
	.54 \(\sigmu\)-stems; .55 \(s\)-stems, \(oko\), \(uxo\)	75
4.6	Declension of personal pronouns (.61 mz-/mb-; .62 ny;	7.0
	.63 short datives; .64 genitive-accusative; .65 na, va)	76
EXC	URSUS	
4.7	Formation of the Comparative (7.1 -bi; .72 -ĕi)	77
4.8	Formation of Adverbs (.81 pronominal: position, time,	
	manner; .82 adjectival: -o/-ě; -ьsky)	79
CHA	PTER FOUR: CONJUGATION	81
5.0	Fundamental notions (.1 aspect; .2 inventory of forms;	-
	.3 components of a form; prefixes; .4 basic stem; .5 types of	
	basic stem and suffix; .6 truncation, desinence classes, under-	
	lying formulas, types of paradigm; .7 aspect morphology;	
	.8 method of description; .9 person-number desinences)	81
6.	The present tense (.1 suffixes; .2 stem; .3 KI-mutation;	
	.4–.8 irregularities)	95
7.	The imperative (.1 suffixes, alternations; .2 irregularities) .	98
8.	The present participles (.1 suffixes, alternations;	
	.2 irregularities)	99
9.	The imperfect (.1 suffixes, alternations; .2 irregularities;	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100
10.	Aorists (types; .1 desinences; .2 truncation; .3 substitutive	
	softening; .4 nq; .5 irregularities; .6 unproductive types,	
	root-aorist suffixes, s-aorist suffixes, alternations; x-aorist;	

	.7 replacement of one type by another; .8 attested forms of	
	unproductive aorists: root, s-, x-aorists, variants; .9 irregular	
	verbs)	102
11.	Past participles (definitions; .1 past active participle;	
	.2 resultative participle; .3 past passive participle)	108
12.	Verbal substantive	111
13.	Infinitive and Supine (.1 suffixes; .2 stems and alternations;	
	.3 ambiguities; .4 irregularities	112
14.	Compound tenses (.1 perfect; .2 the pluperfects; .3 the con-	
	ditional; .4 the future perfect)	112
15.	The Individual Classes of Verbs	114
15.1	Verbs with basic stems in -i+	114
15.2	Verbs with basic stems in -ě+	116
15.3	Verbs with basic stems in $-a+$ preceded by a soft consonant	
	other than $j$	118
15.4	Verbs with basic stems in -j-a+	119
15.5	Verbs with basic stems in -ova+ or -eva+	121
15.6	Verbs with basic stems in $-a+$ preceded by a hard consonant	
	other than v	123
15.7	Verbs with the classifier -nq+	127
15.8	Verbs with zero classifier, stems ending in a consonant other	
	than $j$	131
15.9	Verbs with basic stems in $aj+$ , $-\check{e}j+$ , or $-j-\emptyset+$	136
16.	Irregular verbs	138
CHAI	TER FIVE: NOTES ON SYNTAX AND VOCABULARY	142
17.	On adjectives (long and short forms; .1 vocatives)	142
18.	On the use of the cases (.1 nominative; .2 accusative;	
	genitive-accusative; .3 genitive; .4 locative; .5 dative;	
	.6 instrumental)	143
19.	On the use of the prepositions (.1 prepositions used with	
	only one case; .2 with two cases; .3 with three cases)	151
20.	On the syntax of the numerals	153
21.	On the use of the verbal forms (.1 present; future expressions;	
	.2 past tenses, aorist and imperfect; .3 participles; .4 infinitive	
	.5 supine; .6 se-verbs	153
22.	Some other parts of speech (.1 da; .2 eda; .3 jako; .4 iže, eže)	163
23.	On negation (.1 ne, ni; .2 existential, transitive; .3 rhetorical;	
	.4 lexical; .5 idiomatic)	163

24.	Vocabulary and the structure of words (.1 nature of attested vocabulary; .2 meaning; .3 regional variants; .4 word-forma-	
	tion; .5 formants, persons; .7 other formants; .8 adjectives)	166
	tion, 15 formatios, persons, 17 edier formatios, 16 dejectives)	100
CHAI	PTER SIX: A SKETCH HISTORY:	
	M LATE INDO-EUROPEAN TO LATE COMMON SLAVIC	181
25.	Indo-European and Slavic (.0 IE; .1 Slavic; .2 origins;	
	.4 periodization; .6 modern Slavic	181
26.	Methodology (.0 assumptions; .1 terminology; .12 palatali-	
	zation; .13 iotation; .5 pleophony, nasal vowels)	185
27.	Early IE to Pre-Balto-Slavic (.3 ruki-rule; .4 PBS)	190
28.	Vowels (Early Common Slavic to Middle Common Slavic)	192
29.	Changes (.1 satem palatalization; .2 progressive palatalization	1,
	BdC; .4 first regressive palatalization, KI; .5 $sj zj > \check{s} \check{z}$ ;	
	.7 Vowel Raising, Vowel Adjustment; .8 simplification	
	of syllables; .82 Middle Common Slavic vowel system	
	.9 monophthongization)	193
30.	Word-initial constraints (.2 prothetic $w$ ; .3 prothetic $j$ )	203
31.	Second regressive palatalization, KAI	205
32.	Examples of derivation	206
33.	Pre-Balto-Slavic compared with earliest Common Slavic	208
34.	Examples	209
35.	Specific problems (.1 continuant obstruents)	214
36.	Initial vowels (.1 $\check{e}$ -; .2 $a$ - ~ $ja$ -; .4 $q$ -, $wq$ -; $o$ -, $wo$ -;	.01
	.5 je- ~ o-; 6 ju- ~ u-)	217
37.	IE and OCS morphology (.1 form classes; .2 Slavic ~ IE de-	AH
20	clension; .3 consonant-stems; .4 vocalic stems)	221
38.	Difficulties in history (.1 pronominal vs. nominal;	
	.4 nominative, accusative; .5 accusative plural;	224
20	.6 nominative plural; .7 u-stems; .8 i-stems; .9 vocative)	224
39.	Case-forms	228
40.	Pronominal forms	230
41.	Numerals	233
42.	Conjugation in IE	235
43.	Present system (.1 desinences; .17 irregular stems)	236
	Present markers (.1 apophonic roots; .2 terminology; 3. e, je)	239
45. 46.	Nasal suffix	244
46.	Imperative	246
	*	246
48.	Aorist	247

	TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiii
50. 51.–52.	Infinitive and supine	<ul><li>247</li><li>248</li></ul>
	dex	